Cathedral City

DATE: November 12, 2019

TO: All Prospective Proposers

RE: **HSIP Cycle 9 Ten Intersections Traffic Signal Modifications Project RFP for Design Services**
City Bid Number: B19-09E City Project: CO8752

ADDENDUM NUMBER 2

The following shall be considered as incorporated into the RFP for the above referenced project. Portions of the RFP and Contract Documents not specifically mentioned in this Addendum remain in force.

Prospective Proposers are directed to the following answers of some questions received:

1. Proposal Format - Section II.B.(1) of the RFP state that Rates Sheets must be provided and to see Attachment 2 for the required format. Attachment 2 does not appear to contain a "rate sheet" format, only the 10-H1 Cost Proposal form. Can the City please provide the mentioned sample "rate sheet" referred to, or let us know if the rate sheet is in fact not required since the cost is lump sum and will not be billed on an hourly basis?
    a. **The "rate sheet" specified in Section II.B(1) of the RFP is referencing the provided 10-H1 form. In accordance with the Proposal Format, Section II, interested proposers shall provide a detailed cost proposal detailing the costs per Task, as described in Part A, as well as, the 10-H1 Form detailing the actual hourly rates plus applicable indirect costs, as described in Part B.**

2. Can we submit graphics in 11x17 format? If so, will that count as 1 or 2 pages?
    a. **Yes, and they should be folded. They would count as 1 page.**

3. Under the Environmental Documentation: NEPA Clearance - will cultural resources be considered a Screened Undertaking and be exempt from further review under the Caltrans PA for Section 106 compliance? If so, will the Caltrans PQS screen the undertaking, or will they request the consultant to prepare the Screening Memo?
    a. **Caltrans PQS will determine the level of review under Section 106 of the Programmatic Agreement based on the project description and location of the improvements described in the PES. However, recent projects of similar scopes have been considered Screened Undertakings. In addition, Caltrans PQS has been preparing Screened Undertaking for similar projects.**

The prospective proposers are hereby notified.

APPROVED:

John A. Corella, P. E.
Director of Engineering / Public Works

END OF ADDENDUM NUMBER 2